

## ISSP 2000 Germany: Environment II ; ZUMA report on the German study

Harkness, Janet; Scholz, Evi; Stadler, Stefan

Veröffentlichungsversion / Published Version  
Verzeichnis, Liste, Dokumentation / list

Zur Verfügung gestellt in Kooperation mit / provided in cooperation with:  
GESIS - Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften

### Empfohlene Zitierung / Suggested Citation:

Harkness, J., Scholz, E., & Stadler, S. (2002). *ISSP 2000 Germany: Environment II ; ZUMA report on the German study*. (ZUMA-Methodenbericht, 2002/04). Mannheim: Zentrum für Umfragen, Methoden und Analysen -ZUMA-. <https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-48521-2>

### Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieser Text wird unter einer Deposit-Lizenz (Keine Weiterverbreitung - keine Bearbeitung) zur Verfügung gestellt. Gewährt wird ein nicht exklusives, nicht übertragbares, persönliches und beschränktes Recht auf Nutzung dieses Dokuments. Dieses Dokument ist ausschließlich für den persönlichen, nicht-kommerziellen Gebrauch bestimmt. Auf sämtlichen Kopien dieses Dokuments müssen alle Urheberrechtshinweise und sonstigen Hinweise auf gesetzlichen Schutz beibehalten werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht in irgendeiner Weise abändern, noch dürfen Sie dieses Dokument für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Mit der Verwendung dieses Dokuments erkennen Sie die Nutzungsbedingungen an.

### Terms of use:

This document is made available under Deposit Licence (No Redistribution - no modifications). We grant a non-exclusive, non-transferable, individual and limited right to using this document. This document is solely intended for your personal, non-commercial use. All of the copies of this documents must retain all copyright information and other information regarding legal protection. You are not allowed to alter this document in any way, to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public.

By using this particular document, you accept the above-stated conditions of use.

*ZUMA Methodenbericht 2002/04*  
**ISSP 2000 Germany**  
**Environment II**  
**ZUMA Report on the German Study**  
Janet Harkness, Evi Scholz, Stefan Stadler

August 2002  
ISSN 1437-4129

ZUMA  
Quadrat B2, 1  
Postfach 12 21 55  
D- 68072 Mannheim

Telephone: Int+ 49+ (0) 621 1246-284  
Telefax: Int+ 49+ (0) 621 1246-100  
E-mail: [Harkness@zuma-mannheim.de](mailto:Harkness@zuma-mannheim.de)

## Contents

1	The International Social Survey Programme .....	2
2	Environment II data sets archived to date .....	3
3	ISSP Modules 1985-2002 .....	4
4	Contents of the Environment II Module .....	5
5	The German Module .....	7
5.1	Translation of the Source Questionnaire .....	8
5.2	Sample .....	8
5.3	Pre-testing .....	8
5.4	Fielding .....	9
5.5	Data Editing and Occupational Coding (ISCO 1988).....	9
6	Data Availability.....	9
7	References.....	10
	Appendix A.....	11
	The Questionnaires (English and German).....	11
	English Questionnaire .....	12
	German Questionnaire .....	28
	Appendix B	
	Contact Information for ISSP Member Organisations .....	40

## 1 The International Social Survey Programme

The International Social Survey Programme (ISSP) is a continuing annual programme of cross-national collaboration. It brings together pre-existing social science projects and coordinates research goals, thereby adding a cross-national perspective to the individual national studies.

It started late in 1983 when SCPR,<sup>1</sup> London, secured funds from the Nuffield Foundation to hold meetings to further international collaboration between four existing surveys - the General Social Survey (GSS), conducted by NORC in the USA, the British Social Attitudes Survey (BSA), conducted by SCPR in Great Britain, the Allgemeine Bevölkerungsumfrage der Sozialwissenschaften (ALLBUS), conducted by ZUMA in West Germany and the National Social Science Survey (NSS), conducted by ANU in Australia. Prior to this, NORC and ZUMA had been collaborating bilaterally since 1982 on a common set of questions.

The four founding members agreed to (1) jointly develop modules dealing with important areas of social science, (2) field the modules as a fifteen-minute supplement to the regular national surveys (or a special survey if necessary), (3) include an extensive common core of background variables and (4) make the data available to the social science community as soon as possible.

Each research organisation funds all of its own costs. There are no central funds. The merging of the data into a cross-national data set is performed by the Zentralarchiv für Empirische Sozialforschung, University of Cologne. Since 1996, the archive has been aided in its work by ASEP, one of the Spanish member institutes in the ISSP.

To date, the ISSP has 38 members; the founding four - Australia, Germany, Great Britain and the United States - plus Austria, Bangladesh, Brazil, Bulgaria, Canada, Chile, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, Flanders (for Belgium), France, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, the Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan and Venezuela.

The annual topics for the ISSP are developed over several years by a sub-committee and are pre-tested in various countries. The annual plenary meeting of the ISSP then adopts the final

---

<sup>1</sup> In 1999 SCPR became NCSR (National Centre for Social Research).

questionnaire. ISSP questions need to be relevant to all countries and expressed in an equivalent manner in all languages. The questionnaire is drafted in British English and then translated into other languages.

The ISSP marks several new departures in the area of cross-national research. First, the collaboration between organisations is not ad hoc or intermittent, but routine and continual. Second, while necessarily more circumscribed than collaboration dedicated solely to cross-national research on a single topic, the ISSP makes cross-national research a basic part of the national research agenda of each participating country. Third, by combining a cross-time with a cross-national perspective, two powerful research designs are being used to study societal processes. The ISSP is also one of the few cross-national studies to conduct and publish study monitoring reports of the annual studies. These are appended to the relevant codebooks and are downloadable from the archive web pages.

## 2 Environment II data sets archived to date

	ISSP members	ISSP 2000		ISSP members	ISSP 2000
1	Australia	not fielded	20	Japan	2000
2	Austria	**	21	Latvia	**
3	Bangladesh	*	22	Mexico	2001
4	Brazil	not fielded	23	Netherlands	2000
5	Bulgaria	2000	24	New Zealand	2000
6	Canada	2001	25	Norway	2000
7	Chile	2000	26	Philippines	**
8	Cyprus	not fielded	27	Poland	not fielded
9	Czech Republic	2000	28	Portugal	2000
10	Denmark	2001	29	Russia	**
11	Finland	**	30	Slovakian Republic	not fielded
12	Flanders	joined ISSP in 2000	31	Slovenia	**
13	France	not fielded	32	South Africa	membership interrupted
14	Germany	2000	33	Spain	2000
15	Great Britain	2000	34	Sweden	2001
	Northern Ireland	2000	35	Switzerland	**
16	Hungary	not fielded	36	Taiwan	not yet member
17	Ireland	**	37	USA	2000
18	Israel	2000	38	Venezuela	not fielded
19	Italy	membership interrupted			

\* data not included in merged dataset for technical reasons

\*\* full requirements not yet met

The addresses of the institutes and organisations involved in each country are provided in Appendix B, together with telephone, email and fax of principal contacts. For further information on the ISSP, see the ISSP web site (<http://www.issp.org/>).

### 3 ISSP Modules 1985-2002

1985	Role of Government I	Attitudes toward the government plus general political attitudes.
1986	Social Networks I	Ego-centred network survey in the Claude Fisher tradition ("to whom would you turn") plus a series of questions concerning the structure and composition of respondents' networks.
1987	Social Inequality I	Opinions and attitudes toward inequality in terms of rich and poor and privileged and underprivileged.
1988	Family and Changing Gender Roles I	Attitudes towards women as part of the labour force and possible conflicts with traditional roles of men and women in society, general attitudes to the family.
1989	Work Orientations I	General attitudes to work and leisure, work organisation and work content.
1990	Role of Government II	Replication of the main topics of Role of Government I (1985).
1991	Religion I	Attitudes towards traditional religious beliefs and topics now connected with secular social ideologies.
1992	Social Inequality II	Replication of the main topics of Social Inequality I (1987).
1993	Environment I	Attitudes to the environment, nature and pollution, together with questions assessing knowledge of science and environmental issues.
1994	Family and Changing Gender Roles II	A partial replication of Family and Changing Gender Roles I (1988), with new questions.
1995	National Identity I	Questions on attitudes to aspects of national life and culture, citizenship, minorities in society and to foreigners.
1996	Role of Government III	A partial replication of Role of Government II (1990), one third new.
1997	Work Orientations II	A partial replication of Work Orientations I (1989), one third new.
1998	Religion II	A partial replication of Religion I (1991), with new questions.
1999	Social Inequality III	A partial replication of the Social Inequality modules from 1987 and 1992, with new questions.
2000	Environment II	A partial replication of Environment I (1993), with new questions.
2001	Social Networks II	A partial replication of Social Networks I (1986), with new questions
2002	Family and Changing Gender Roles III	A partial replication of Family and Changing Gender Roles II (1994), with new questions.

### Modules planned

2003	National Identity II (in preparation)	A partial replication of National Identity I (1995).
2004	Citizenship I (in preparation)	A new module.
2005	Work Orientations III (in preparation)	A partial replication of Work Orientations II (1997), with new questions.

#### 4 Contents of the Environment II Module

The 2000 module was a partial replication of the 1993 Environment module. The table below outlines the topics covered in the module and indicates which were new and which were replicated. The questionnaire item numbers are given on the left in the first column. The variable labels in the second column are those of the international data set.

**Table 1: Contents of module**

2000			Abbreviated version of questions	1993 Study	
Question numbers	Variables	No. of Items		English question numbers	Variable 1993
Left-right Dimension					
1		2	Agree / Disagree ...	2	
a	V4		Private enterprise solves economic problems	a	V5
b	V5		Government responsible for reducing income differences	b	V6
Postmaterialism					
2+3	V6, V7	2	(Highest priority and next priority) ... for country	3	
			- Maintain order	a	V7
			- Give people more say	b	V8
			- Fight rising prices		
			- Protect freedom of speech		
Attitudes towards Science and Nature					
4		6	Agree / Disagree ...		
a	V8		We believe too often in science, not enough in feelings...	4a	V9
b	V9		Science does more harm than good	4b	V10
c	V10		Science solves environmental problems	4d	V12
d	V11		We worry too much about future environment	5a	V13
e	V12		Almost everything in modern life harms environment	5b	V14
f	V13		We worry too much about harming environment	6a	V17
5		4			
a	V14		Environmental protection needs economic growth	6c	V19
b	V15		Use animals in medical testing to save lives	6d	V20
c	V16		Economic growth harms environment	6f	V22
d	V17		Earth cannot continue to support population		
6	V18	1	Is nature sacred, spiritual or just important	7	V23
17	V49	1	How likely is it that a nuclear accident will happen in the next five years		
Willingness to Make Trade-Offs for Environment					
7		3	How willing are you for sake of environment to...	8	
a	V19		Pay much higher prices	a	V24
b	V20		Pay much higher taxes	b	V25
c	V21		Cut your standard of living	c	V26
Environmental Efficacy					
8		4	Agree / Disagree...	9	
a	V22		Too difficult for someone like me to help environment	a	V27
b	V23		I do what is right even when it costs money and takes time	b	V28
c	V24		More important things to do than protect environment		
d	V25		No point unless others do the same		
e	V26	1	Many claims about environment exaggerated		

2000			Abbreviated version of questions	1993 Study	
Question numbers	Variables	No. of Items		English question numbers	Variable 1993
Scientific and Environmental Knowledge					
9		6	True / not true that...		
a	V27		Antibiotics kill bacteria not viruses	10b	V30
b	V28		Human beings developed from animals	10d	V32
c	V29		Man-made chemicals can cause cancer	10e	V33
d	V30		Exposure to radioactivity results in death	11a	V34
e	V31		Greenhouse effect caused by hole in earth's atmosphere	11c	V36
f	V32		Burning coal/oil/gas contributes to greenhouse effect	11d	V37
Dangers of Specific Environmental Problems					
10		2	Air pollution by cars dangerous /not dangerous...		
a	V33		to the environment	12a	V41
b	V34		to family	12b	V42
11		5	Rating danger for environment		
a	V35		Air pollution by industry	14a	V46
b	V36		Pesticides in farming	15a	V48
c	V37		River, lake pollution	16a	V50
d	V38		Rise in temperature caused by the greenhouse effect	17a	V52
e	V39		Genetic engineering of crops		
23	V64	1	Nuclear power stations	13a	V44
Environmental Protection, Locus of Control, and Effort					
12	V40	1	Who should decide how to protect the environment...	18a	V54
			Government or people		
13	V41	1	Who should decide how to protect the environment...	18b	V55
			Government or business		
14	V42	1	How much do you think is this country doing to protect the environment		
15		3	Who is making more effort to look after the environment		
a	V43		Business and industry or people in general		
b	V44		Government or business and industry		
c	V45		People in general or government		
16		2	Agree / Disagree...		
a	V46		International agreements on environment needed		
b	V47		Accept that poorer countries make less effort		
Positive Trade-Off of Environmentalism					
16c	V48	1	Agree / Disagree...Economic progress will slow down unless we look after the environment better		
Trust in Information Sources on Environmental Issues					
18		6	Trust environmental information from...		
a	V50		Business, industry		
b	V51		Environmental groups		
c	V52		Government departments		
d	V53		Newspapers		
e	V54		Radio or TV programmes		
f	V55		University research centres		
Respondent's Behaviour and Environmental Protection					
19	V56	1	Sort glass for recycling	19a	V56
20	V57	1	Cut back on driving a car	19d	V59
21	V58	1	Member of group to preserve environment	20	V60
22		3	(In the last five year, active support...)	21	
a	V59		Signed a petition	a	V61
b	V60		Given money	b	V62
c	V61		Participated in an environmental demonstration	c	V63
Religiosity					
24	V62	1	Belief in God	22	V64



## 5 The German Module

The study description sheet below was submitted to the archive with the 2000 data. We expand somewhat on the information contained in this in sections which follow. A detailed questionnaire on the 2000 fielding was completed by ISSP members, including Germany, in 2000/2001 and will be available in 2002 on the GESIS web site. The German study monitoring questionnaire for the 1999 / 2000 modules is now available on the GESIS web site.

**Table 2: ISSP Study Description Form: 2000 GERMANY**

Study Title:	ISSP 2000 Germany – Environment II			
Fieldwork Dates:	18.1.2000 – 31.7.2000			
Principle Investigator:	Dr. Janet Harkness, Prof. Dr. Peter Ph. Mohler			
Sample Type:	Names and addresses from registers of inhabitants kept by municipalities. Adults of 18 and older living in private accommodation.			
Fieldwork Methods:	Self-completion questionnaire, interviewer in attendance. Background variables were asked face-to-face.			
Context of ISSP Questionnaire:	Self-completion questionnaire following on from a 45 minutes face-to-face interview (ALLBUS). ISSP 2000 fielded in split with ISSP 1999.			
Sample Size:				
Response Rates:	real numbers			
	N=3706	W=2533	E=1173	A – Total issued (total sample)
	N=525	W=379	E=146	B – Ineligible (address vacant, wrong ages, etc.)
	N=3181	W=2154	E=1027	C – (= A–B) Total eligible
	N=1501	W=974	E=527	D – Total ISSP 2000 interviews received
	N=1680	W=1180	E=500	E – (= C–D) Total non-response
	N=1247	W=847	E=400	F – Refusals
	N=49	W=38	E=11	G – Non-contact (never contacted) *
	N=182	W=126	E=56	H – Other reactions:
	N=50	W=32	E=18	H <sub>1</sub> – interview not carried out correctly
				H <sub>2</sub> – ill, incapable
Language:	German			
Weighted (yes/no):	No			
Weighting Procedure:	Sample for eastern Germany deliberately over-samples the five eastern federal states. If all of Germany is taken as the unit of analysis (rather than the eastern and western states) weighting is necessary.			
Known Systematic Properties in Sample:	None			
Deviations from ISSP Questionnaire:	None			
Publications:	Achim Koch, Martina Wasmer, Janet Harkness, Evi Scholz (2001): Konzeption und Durchführung der "Allgemeinen Bevölkerungsumfrage der Sozialwissenschaften" (ALLBUS) 2000, ZUMA-Methodenbericht 2001/05 (ALLBUS Methods Report 2001). For further information see ISSP bibliography on the ISSP homepage ( <a href="http://www.issp.org/biblio.htm">http://www.issp.org/biblio.htm</a> )			

\* G –Sample unit (person) not contacted.

### **5.1 Translation of the Source Questionnaire**

Three independent translations were commissioned, one by a free-lance simultaneous bilingual translator, one by a translation expert who teaches translation and also does translation, one by an inhouse researcher with good English, no translation experience. The three versions were reviewed and discussed inhouse (in committee) together with a fourth expert who is involved in survey research and is a survey translation expert. Replicated questions which could have been improved somewhat were not changed.

### **5.2 Sample**

The ISSP modules for 1999 and 2000 (Social Inequality and Environment) were fielded together with the ALLBUS 2000 study in a split. The ALLBUS sample in 2000 was designed to yield a representative sample of the adult population (18 years and older) living in private accommodation in Germany, including foreigners able to complete the questionnaire in German. The sample was drawn from official registers of inhabitants kept by municipalities throughout Germany in a two-stage design. First the communities and sample points were selected randomly and then named individuals randomly selected from each sampling point. Full details of the sample are presented (in German) in the methods report on ALLBUS 2000 (Koch et al. 2001).

### **5.3 Pre-testing**

Infratest (now Infratest Sozialforschung NFO), the fielding agency, carried out pre-testing for the ALLBUS. No extra pre-test was conducted for the ISSP modules.

## **5.4 Fielding**

The 2000 ISSP module was fielded with the ALLBUS 2000 module, as was the ISSP Social Inequality III module. Fielding began on January 18<sup>th</sup> 2000 and ended on July 31<sup>st</sup> 2000. The ISSP module was a self-completion questionnaire administered at the end of the ALLBUS CAPI interview. A total of 1501 questionnaires were completed for the module (974 in western states; 527 in eastern states). 93,5% of ALLBUS respondents agreed to complete the ISSP module. The total ALLBUS response rate was 49,1%. Based on this, the response rate for the ISSP was 47,2% (45,2% in western states; 51,3% in eastern states). While ISSP modules are designed as self-completion questionnaires, for various reasons one-third of the cases were administered as interviews (30,9% in western states; 31,5% in eastern states). In addition, a number of respondents were administered the wrong questionnaire in terms of the split design: 16 respondents got the Environment module instead of the Social Inequality module and 21 respondents got the Social Inequality module instead of the Environment module. These cases are identified in the national German data set (“split”) but the variable for this is removed in the merged international data set.

## **5.5 Data Editing and Occupational Coding (ISCO 1988)**

The fielding institute delivered a formally edited data set to ZUMA. ZUMA carried out additional data editing and prepared the data for merging in accordance with the ISSP 2000 set-up from the ISSP archive. Occupational coding (current or former occupation) was also carried out at ZUMA using the ISCO 1988 scheme. For details of ISCO 1988, see, for example, Appendix I of the cumulative codebook of the GSS, 1972-1998 (Davis and Smith 1999).

## **6 Data Availability**

The data were deposited to the Central Archive for Empirical Social Research at the University Cologne, the official ISSP archive since 1986. Together with Analisis Sociologicos, Economicos y Politicos (ASEP), Madrid, the archive is responsible for merging the ISSP data and producing the international merged data sets.

## 7      **References**

Davis, James Allan and Smith, Tom W. (1999). *General Social Surveys, 1972-1998*. Cumulative Codebook. Chicago by the National Opinion Research Center.

Koch, A., Wasmer, M., Harkness, J., Scholz, E. (2001). *ZUMA-Methodenbericht 2001/05*. Konzeption und Durchführung der “Allgemeinen Bevölkerungsumfrage der Sozialwissenschaften”(ALLBUS) 2000. Mannheim ZUMA, 2001.

## **Appendix A**

### **The Questionnaires (English and German)**

The numbering of the German questionnaire follows that of the English. Question 25 in the German questionnaire is a background variable (subjective assessment of urban/rural character of where R lives). The other background variables were asked in the ALLBUS survey which preceded the ISSP module.

#### **English Questionnaire**

#### **German Questionnaire**

## **2000 ISSP Module on Environment**

From Lindsey Jarvis, Alison Park and Roger Jowell  
(*National Centre for Social Research, London*)

FINAL VERSION

7<sup>th</sup> July 1999

*Notes to members:*

1. All notes which are not part of the questionnaire are enclosed in pointed brackets, e.g. **<ISSP 1993 Q2, V5-6>**.
2. Every mention of COUNTRY should, of course, be locally adapted.
3. The questionnaire is laid out for self-completion administration. Some of the wording will need to be altered for interviewer administered interviewing. e.g. 2a. Looking at the list below, please tick a box next to the one thing ...
4. Translation notes for repeat questions are intended for those countries which did not take part in the 1993 ISSP. Others should repeat their wording from the 1993 survey.

**<ISSP 1993 Q2, V5-6>**

1. How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements?

PLEASE TICK <b>ONE</b> BOX ON EACH LINE	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Can't choose
a. Private enterprise is the best way to solve COUNTRY's economic problems	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. It is the responsibility of the government to reduce the differences in income between people with high incomes and those with low incomes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(8)

**<ISSP 1993 Q3, V7-8>**

2a. Looking at the list below, please tick a box next to the **one** thing you think should be COUNTRY's **highest priority**, the most important thing it should do.

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

**COUNTRY should...**

	Highest priority (✓)
Maintain order in the nation	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)
Give people more say in government decisions	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)
Fight rising prices	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Protect freedom of speech	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
Can't choose	<input type="checkbox"/> (8)





## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q6c,d,f, V19,V20,V22&gt;

## &lt;Attitudes to science and nature – limits of growth: 1 new ISSP item&gt;

5. And please tick one box for each of **these** statements to show how much you agree or disagree with it.

	PLEASE TICK <b>ONE</b> BOX ON EACH LINE	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Can't choose
a.	In order to protect the environment COUNTRY needs economic growth	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b.	It is right to use animals for medical testing if it might save human lives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c.	Economic growth always harms the environment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d.	The earth simply cannot continue to support population growth at its present rate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(8)

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q7, V23&gt;

6. Please tick **one** box to show which statement is closest to your views.

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

	(✓)
Nature is sacred because it is created by God	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)
Nature is spiritual or sacred in itself	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)
Nature is important, but not spiritual or sacred	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Can't choose	<input type="checkbox"/> (8)

<The words 'spiritual' and 'sacred' in this question do not necessarily refer to religion as such but to an idealised or almost mystical view of the natural world.>

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q8, V24-26&gt;

- 7a. How willing would **you** be to pay **much higher prices** in order to protect the environment?

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

- Very willing ☐ (1)
- Fairly willing ☐ (2)
- Neither willing nor unwilling ☐ (3)
- Fairly unwilling ☐ (4)
- Very unwilling ☐ (5)
- Can't choose ☐ (8)

- b. And how willing would **you** be to pay **much higher taxes** in order to protect the environment?

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

- Very willing ☐ (1)
- Fairly willing ☐ (2)
- Neither willing nor unwilling ☐ (3)
- Fairly unwilling ☐ (4)
- Very unwilling ☐ (5)
- Can't choose ☐ (8)

- c. And how willing would **you** be to **accept cuts in your standard of living** in order to protect the environment?

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

- Very willing ☐ (1)
- Fairly willing ☐ (2)
- Neither willing nor unwilling ☐ (3)
- Fairly unwilling ☐ (4)
- Very unwilling ☐ (5)
- Can't choose ☐ (8)
-

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q9a, b V27, V28&gt;

## &lt;Environmental efficacy: 2 new ISSP items; Scepticism: 1 new ISSP item&gt;

8. How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements?

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX  
ON EACH LINE

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Can't choose
a. It is just too difficult for someone like me to do much about the environment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. I do what is right for the environment, even when it costs more money or takes more time	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. There are more important things to do in life than protect the environment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. There is no point in doing what I can for the environment unless others do the same	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Many of the claims about environmental threats are exaggerated	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)

<8c. This is an idiom which means in British English that the person has higher priorities in life than environmentally friendly behaviour.>

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q10b,d,e Q11a,c,d, V30,V32, V33, V34, V36, V37&gt;

9. For each statement below, just tick the box that comes closest to your opinion of how true it is.

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX  
ON EACH LINE

In your opinion, how true is this?

	Definitely true	Probably true	Probably not true	Definitely not true	Can't choose
a. 'Antibiotics can kill bacteria but not viruses'	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. 'Human beings developed from earlier species of animals'	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. 'All man-made chemicals can cause cancer if you eat enough of them'	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. 'If someone is exposed to any amount of radioactivity, they are certain to die as a result'	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- e. 'The greenhouse effect is caused by a hole in the earth's atmosphere' ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
- f. 'Every time we use coal or oil or gas, we contribute to the greenhouse effect' ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
- (1) (2) (3) (4) (8)

<9e and 9f. "Greenhouse effect" refers to global warming. Use whichever term is most recognisable in your country unless you are repeating the module from 1993 in which case the original wording should be used..>

**<ISSP 1993 Q12a-b, V41-42>**

- 10a. In general, do you think that **air pollution caused by cars** is ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

- (✓)
- ... extremely dangerous for the environment, ☐ (1)
- very dangerous, ☐ (2)
- somewhat dangerous, ☐ (3)
- not very dangerous, ☐ (4)
- or, not dangerous at all for the environment? ☐ (5)
- Can't choose ☐ (8)

- b. And do you think that **air pollution caused by cars** is ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

- (✓)
- ... extremely dangerous for you and your family, ☐ (1)
- very dangerous, ☐ (2)
- somewhat dangerous, ☐ (3)
- not very dangerous, ☐ (4)
- or, not dangerous at all for you and your family? ☐ (5)
- Can't choose ☐ (8)

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q14a, Q15a, Q16a, V46, V48, V50&gt;

11a. In general, do you think that **air pollution caused by industry** is ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

... extremely dangerous for the environment, ☐ (1)

very dangerous, ☐ (2)

somewhat dangerous, ☐ (3)

not very dangerous, ☐ (4)

or, not dangerous at all for the environment? ☐ (5)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

b. And do you think that **pesticides and chemicals used in farming** are ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

... extremely dangerous for the environment, ☐ (1)

very dangerous, ☐ (2)

somewhat dangerous, ☐ (3)

not very dangerous, ☐ (4)

or, not dangerous at all for the environment? ☐ (5)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

c. And do you think that **pollution of COUNTRY's rivers, lakes and streams** is ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

... extremely dangerous for the environment, ☐ (1)

very dangerous, ☐ (2)

somewhat dangerous, ☐ (3)

not very dangerous, ☐ (4)

or, not dangerous at all for the environment? ☐ (5)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

---

<11a. "Industry" refers to *large-scale* industry, but avoid using the adjective in the translation.>

---

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q17a, V52&gt;

## &lt;Dangers of genetically modified foods – 1 new ISSP item&gt;

- 12a. In general, do you think that **a rise in the world's temperature caused by the 'greenhouse effect'** is ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

... extremely dangerous for the environment, ☐ (1)

very dangerous, ☐ (2)

somewhat dangerous, ☐ (3)

not very dangerous, ☐ (4)

or, not dangerous at all for the environment? ☐ (5)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

- b. And do you think that **modifying the genes of certain crops** is ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

... extremely dangerous for the environment, ☐ (1)

very dangerous, ☐ (2)

somewhat dangerous, ☐ (3)

not very dangerous, ☐ (4)

or, not dangerous at all for the environment? ☐ (5)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

<12a. "Greenhouse effect" refers to global warming. Use whichever term is most recognisable in your country unless you are repeating the module from 1993 in which case the original wording should be used.

12b. "Modifying the genes" refers to actual alteration of a crop's genetic structure.>

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q18, V54-55&gt;

- 13a. If you had to choose, which **one** of the following would be closest to your views?

PLEASE TICK **ONE**  
BOX ONLY

(✓)

Government should let **ordinary people** decide for themselves how to protect the environment, even if it means they don't always do the right thing ☐ (1)

OR

Government should pass laws to make **ordinary people** protect the environment, even if it interferes with people's rights to make their own decisions ☐ (2)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

- b. And which **one** of the following would be closest to your views?

PLEASE TICK **ONE**  
BOX ONLY

(✓)

Government should let **businesses** decide for themselves how to protect the environment, even if it means they don't always do the right thing ☐ (1)

OR

Government should pass laws to make **businesses** protect the environment, even if it interferes with businesses' rights to make their own decisions ☐ (2)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

< Q13a and 13b. Here "government" refers to the regime (i.e. any government that has been elected into power). In some countries the meaning of the question can be improved by adding "of any party" after "government" to stress that it does not necessarily have to be the current government.

13a. "Ordinary people" refers to people in general or the individual citizen.

13b. "Businesses" refers to *large-scale* businesses, but avoid using the adjective in the translation.>

## &lt;Role of developing and developed countries – 1 new ISSP item&gt;

14. Some countries are doing more to protect the world environment than other countries are. In general, do you think that COUNTRY is doing ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

... more than enough, ☐ (1)

about the right amount, ☐ (2)

or, too little? ☐ (3)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

**<Role of individual, business and government - 3 new ISSP items>**

- 15a. On balance, which of these two do you think is making more effort to look after the environment...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

- (✓)
- Business and industry, ☐ (1)
- or people in general? ☐ (2)
- Both equally ☐ (3)
- Can't choose ☐ (8)

- b. And which of these two groups do you think is making more effort to look after the environment...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

- (✓)
- Government, ☐ (1)
- or business and industry? ☐ (2)
- Both equally ☐ (3)
- Can't choose ☐ (8)

- c. And which of these two groups is making more effort to look after the environment...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

- (✓)
- People in general, ☐ (1)
- or government? ☐ (2)
- Both equally ☐ (3)
- Can't choose ☐ (8)

<Q15a and 15b. "Business and industry" refers to *large-scale* business and industry, but avoid using the adjective in the translation.

Q15b and 15c. Here "government" refers to the regime (i.e. any government that has been elected into power). In some countries the meaning of the question can be improved by adding "of any party" after "government" to stress that it does not necessarily have to be the current government.>

---



**<Global co-operation; Role of developing and developed countries; Positive trade-off of environmentally friendly behaviour – 3 new ISSP items>**

16. How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements?

<i>PLEASE TICK <b>ONE</b> BOX ON EACH LINE</i>	<b>Strongly agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Neither agree nor disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly disagree</b>	<b>Can't choose</b>
a. For environmental problems, there should be international agreements that COUNTRY and other countries should be made to follow	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Poorer countries should be expected to make less effort than richer countries to protect the environment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Economic progress in COUNTRY will slow down unless we look after the environment better	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(8)

**<Attitudes to science and nature: ecological catastrophe: 1 new ISSP item>**

17. Within the next five years, how likely is it that an accident at a nuclear power station will cause long-term environmental damage across many countries?

*PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY*

	(✓)
Very likely	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)
Likely	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)
Unlikely	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Very unlikely	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
Can't choose	<input type="checkbox"/> (8)

**<Information sources about environmental issues: 6 new ISSP items>**

18. How much trust do you have in each of the following groups  
to give you correct information about **causes of pollution**?

PLEASE TICK <b>ONE</b> BOX ON EACH LINE	A great deal of trust	Quite a lot of trust	Some trust	Not much trust	Hardly any trust	Can't choose
a. Business and industry .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Environmental groups .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Government departments .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Newspapers .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. Radio or TV programmes .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. University research centres .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(8)

< 18a. Translation note – “business and industry” refers to *large-scale* business and industry,  
but avoid using the adjective in the translation.

18b. Environmental groups includes NGOs and lobby groups.

18c. Here “government” refers to the regime (i.e. any government that has been  
elected into power). In some countries the meaning of the question can be improved  
by adding “of any party” after “government” to stress that it does not necessarily have  
to be the current government.

18f. This category refers to university research centres which may carry out work for  
some of the previous groups but remain independent from government or business.>

**<ISSP 1993 Q19a,d, V56, V59>**

19a. How often do you make a special effort to **sort glass or  
tins or plastic or newspapers and so on** for recycling?

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

	(✓)
Always	<input type="checkbox"/> (1)
Often	<input type="checkbox"/> (2)
Sometimes	<input type="checkbox"/> (3)
Never	<input type="checkbox"/> (4)
(Recycling not available where I live)	<input type="checkbox"/> (5)

- b. And how often do you **cut back** on driving a car for environmental reasons?

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

- (✓)
- Always ☐ (1)
- Often ☐ (2)
- Sometimes ☐ (3)
- Never ☐ (4)
- (I do not have or cannot drive a car) ☐ (5)

**<ISSP 1993 Q20, V60>**

20. Are you a member of any group whose main aim is to preserve or protect the environment?

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

- (✓)
- Yes ☐ (1)
- No ☐ (2)

**<ISSP 1993 Q21, V61-63>**

21. In the last **five years**, have you ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ON EACH LINE

- |                                                                               | Yes<br>I have            | No<br>I have not         |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| a. ... signed a petition about an environmental issue?                        | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b. ... given money to an environmental group?                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c. ... taken part in a protest or demonstration about an environmental issue? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|                                                                               | (1)                      | (2)                      |

<21b. Environmental group includes NGOs and lobby groups.>

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q22, V64&gt;

22. Please tick one box below to show which statement comes closest to expressing what you believe about God.

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

I don't believe in God ☐ (1)

I don't know whether there is a God and I don't believe there is any way to find out ☐ (2)

I don't believe in a personal God, but I do believe in a Higher Power of some kind ☐ (3)

I find myself believing in God some of the time, but not at others ☐ (4)

While I have doubts, I feel that I do believe in God ☐ (5)

I know God really exists and I have no doubts about it ☐ (6)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q23, V65&gt;

23. Would you describe the place where you live as ...

PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

... a big city, ☐ (1)

the suburbs or outskirts of a big city, ☐ (2)

a small city or town, ☐ (3)

a country village, ☐ (4)

or, a farm or home in the country? ☐ (5)

## &lt;ISSP 1993 Q13a, V44 &gt;

24. In general, do you think that **nuclear power stations** are ...  
PLEASE TICK **ONE** BOX ONLY

(✓)

... extremely dangerous for the environment, ☐ (1)

very dangerous, ☐ (2)

somewhat dangerous, ☐ (3)

not very dangerous, ☐ (4)

or, not dangerous at all for the environment? ☐ (5)

Can't choose ☐ (8)

## &lt;Optional items&gt;

## &lt;Group-grid theory: 8 new ISSP items&gt;

25. How much do you agree or disagree with each of these statements?

PLEASE TICK <b>ONE</b> BOX ON EACH LINE	<b>Strongly agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Neither agree nor disagree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly disagree</b>	<b>Can't choose</b>
a. Government should redistribute income from the better-off to those who are less well-off	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. There is little that people can do to change the course of their lives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. One of the problems with people today is that they challenge authority too often	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. People with money should be left to enjoy it	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e. There are times when people should follow their consciences even if it means breaking the law	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. Private enterprise needs to be controlled to protect everyone's needs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g. All societies have inequalities which it is better not to interfere with	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h. Taking everything into account, the world is getting better	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(8)

<25a. Here "government" refers to the regime (i.e. any government that has been elected into power). In some countries the meaning of the question can be improved by adding "of any party" after "government" to stress that it does not necessarily have to be the current government.>

**Bürger aus 35 Ländern sagen ihre Meinung zum Thema:**

# **Umwelt**

---

**Internationale Sozialwissenschaftliche Umfrage 2000**

Listen-Nr.

Lfd. Nr.

Welle

Abrechnungs-Nr.

---

Datum des Ausfüllens


## Hinweise zum Ausfüllen des Fragebogens

Auf die Fragen gibt es weder "richtige" noch "falsche" Antworten.  
Antworten Sie bitte so, wie es Ihrer Meinung am besten entspricht.

Bei den meisten Fragen brauchen Sie nur eines der vorgegebenen Kästchen anzukreuzen: ☒  
Bei einigen Fragen bitten wir Sie, Zahlen oder Text einzutragen.

Bitte beantworten Sie die Fragen vollständig und in der vorgegebenen Reihenfolge.

### 1. Bitte geben Sie zu jeder der folgenden Aussagen an, inwieweit Sie zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.

 Bitte machen Sie in jeder Zeile ein Kreuz!

	Stimme stark zu	Stimme eher zu	Weder noch	Stimme eher nicht zu	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	Kann ich nicht sagen
Privatwirtschaft ist das beste Mittel zur Lösung der wirtschaftlichen Probleme Deutschlands .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Es ist Aufgabe des Staates, die Einkommensunterschiede zwischen den Leuten mit hohem Einkommen und solchen mit niedrigem Einkommen zu verringern .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

### 2. Wenn Sie zwischen den folgenden Zielen wählen müßten, welches Ziel sollte Ihrer Meinung nach für Deutschland an erster Stelle stehen?

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Aufrechterhaltung von Ruhe und Ordnung in diesem Land ..... ☐

Mehr Einfluß der Bürger auf die Entscheidungen der Regierung ..... ☐

Kampf gegen die steigenden Preise ..... ☐

Schutz des Rechts auf freie Meinungsäußerung ..... ☐


Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

3. Und welches Ziel sollte Ihrer Meinung nach für Deutschland an zweiter Stelle stehen?

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

- Aufrechterhaltung von Ruhe und Ordnung in diesem Land ..... ☐
- Mehr Einfluß der Bürger auf die Entscheidungen der Regierung ..... ☐
- Kampf gegen die steigenden Preise ..... ☐
- Schutz des Rechts auf freie Meinungsäußerung ..... ☐
- Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐


4. Bitte geben Sie zu jeder der folgenden Aussagen an, inwieweit Sie zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.

 Bitte machen Sie in jeder Zeile ein Kreuz!

	Stimme stark zu	Stimme eher zu	Weder noch	Stimme eher nicht zu	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	Kann ich nicht sagen
Wir vertrauen zu sehr der Wissenschaft und nicht genug unseren Gefühlen und dem Glauben .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alles in allem schadet die moderne Wissenschaft mehr als sie nützt .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Die moderne Wissenschaft wird unsere Umweltprobleme bei nur geringer Veränderung unserer Lebensweise lösen .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Wir machen uns zu viele Sorgen über die Zukunft der Umwelt und zu wenig um Preise und Arbeitsplätze heutzutage .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Fast alles, was wir in unserer modernen Welt tun, schadet der Umwelt .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Die Leute machen sich zu viele Sorgen, daß der menschliche Fortschritt der Umwelt schadet .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



**5. Bitte geben Sie zu jeder der folgenden Aussagen an, inwieweit Sie zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.**

 Bitte machen Sie in jeder Zeile ein Kreuz!

	Stimme stark zu	Stimme eher zu	Weder noch	Stimme eher nicht zu	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	Kann ich nicht sagen
Um die Umwelt schützen zu können, braucht Deutschland wirtschaftliches Wachstum .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tierversuche sind gerechtfertigt, wenn dies Menschenleben retten könnte .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Wirtschaftswachstum schadet immer der Umwelt .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Die Erde wird ein weiteres Bevölkerungs- wachstum im gegenwärtigen Ausmaß einfach nicht verkraften .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**6. Welche der folgenden Aussagen über die Natur kommt Ihrer Meinung am nächsten?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Die Natur ist heilig, weil sie von Gott geschaffen wurde ..... ☐

Die Natur ist an sich heilig ..... ☐

Die Natur ist wichtig, aber nicht heilig ..... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**7a Inwieweit fänden Sie es für sich persönlich akzeptabel, viel höhere Preise zu bezahlen, um die Umwelt zu schützen?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Sehr akzeptabel ..... ☐

Eher akzeptabel ..... ☐

Weder akzeptabel noch inakzeptabel ..... ☐

Eher inakzeptabel ..... ☐

Sehr inakzeptabel ..... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**7b Inwieweit fänden Sie es für sich persönlich akzeptabel, viel höhere Steuern zu bezahlen, um die Umwelt zu schützen?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Sehr akzeptabel ..... ☐

Eher akzeptabel ..... ☐

Weder akzeptabel noch inakzeptabel ..... ☐

Eher inakzeptabel ..... ☐

Sehr inakzeptabel ..... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**7c Und inwieweit fänden Sie es für sich persönlich akzeptabel, Abstriche von Ihrem Lebensstandard zu machen, um die Umwelt zu schützen?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Sehr akzeptabel ..... ☐

Eher akzeptabel ..... ☐


Weder akzeptabel noch inakzeptabel ..... ☐

Eher inakzeptabel ..... ☐

Sehr inakzeptabel ..... ☐


Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**8. Bitte geben Sie zu jeder der folgenden Aussagen an, inwieweit Sie zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.**

 Bitte machen Sie in jeder Zeile ein Kreuz!

	Stimme stark zu	Stimme eher zu	Weder noch	Stimme eher nicht zu	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	Kann ich nicht sagen
Für jemand wie mich ist es einfach zu schwierig, viel für die Umwelt zu tun .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ich tue das, was für die Umwelt richtig ist, auch wenn mich das mehr Geld oder Zeit kostet .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Es gibt wichtigeres im Leben zu tun, als die Umwelt zu schützen .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Es ist zwecklos, meinen Beitrag für die Umwelt zu leisten, solange andere sich nicht genauso verhalten .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Viele Behauptungen über die Gefährdung der Umwelt sind übertrieben .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. Wie ist Ihre Meinung zu jeder der folgenden Aussagen?

 Bitte machen Sie in jeder Zeile ein Kreuz!

	Ganz sicher richtig	Wahr- scheinlich richtig	Wahr- scheinlich nicht richtig	Ganz sicher nicht richtig	Kann ich nicht sagen
Antibiotika können Bakterien töten, aber keine Viren .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Die Menschen stammen von früheren Formen von Lebewesen ab .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Alle Chemikalien können Krebs verursachen, wenn man zuviel von ihnen durch die Nahrung aufnimmt .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Jede beliebige Dosis Radioaktivität ist für Menschen tödlich .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Die Ursache des Treibhauseffektes ist ein Loch in der Erdatmosphäre .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Jedesmal, wenn wir Öl, Kohle oder Gas ver- brauchen, tragen wir zum Treibhauseffekt bei .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

10a Ganz allgemein betrachtet, ist die durch Autos verursachte Luftverschmutzung Ihrer Meinung nach . . .

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

äußerst gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐

sehr gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐

etwas gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐

kaum gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐

oder überhaupt nicht gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

10b Und bezogen auf Sie selbst und Ihre Familie:

Ist die durch Autos verursachte Luftverschmutzung Ihrer Meinung nach . . .

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

äußerst gefährlich für Sie und Ihre Familie? ..... ☐

sehr gefährlich für Sie und Ihre Familie? ..... ☐


etwas gefährlich für Sie und Ihre Familie? ..... ☐

kaum gefährlich für Sie und Ihre Familie? ..... ☐

oder überhaupt nicht gefährlich für Sie und Ihre Familie? .... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**11. Ganz allgemein betrachtet, wie gefährlich für die Umwelt sind Ihrer Meinung nach die folgenden Dinge?**

 Bitte machen Sie in jeder Zeile ein Kreuz!

	äußerst gefährlich für die Umwelt	sehr gefährlich für die Umwelt	etwas gefährlich für die Umwelt	kaum gefährlich für die Umwelt	überhaupt nicht gefährlich für die Umwelt	Kann ich nicht sagen
Die durch die Industrie verursachte Luftverschmutzung .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Die in der Landwirtschaft eingesetzten Pflanzenschutzmittel und Chemikalien .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Das Verschmutzen der Bäche, Flüsse und Seen in Deutschland .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ein durch den "Treibhauseffekt" verursachter weltweiter Temperaturanstieg .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Die Genmanipulation von Nutzpflanzen .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**12. Wenn Sie zwischen den folgenden Aussagen entscheiden müßten, welche von beiden käme Ihrer Meinung am nächsten?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Die Regierung sollte es jedem selbst überlassen, wie er/sie die Umwelt schützt, auch wenn das dazu führt, daß nicht immer das Richtige für die Umwelt getan wird ..... ☐

oder

Die Regierung sollte Gesetze erlassen, um Leute zu zwingen, die Umwelt zu schützen, auch wenn dies in die Entscheidungsfreiheit des einzelnen eingreift ..... ☐

**13. Und wenn Sie zwischen den folgenden Aussagen entscheiden müßten, welche von beiden käme Ihrer eigenen Meinung am nächsten?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Die Regierung sollte es der Wirtschaft überlassen, wie sie die Umwelt schützt, auch wenn das dazu führt, daß nicht immer das Richtige für die Umwelt getan wird ..... ☐

oder

Die Regierung sollte Gesetze erlassen, um die Wirtschaft zu zwingen, die Umwelt zu schützen, auch wenn dies in die Entscheidungsfreiheit der Wirtschaft eingreift ..... ☐

**14. Manche Länder tun mehr für den globalen Umweltschutz als andere.  
Ganz allgemein gesehen, tut Deutschland Ihrer Meinung nach . . .**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!


mehr als genug? ..... ☐

in etwa genug? ..... ☐

zu wenig? ..... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**15a Alles in allem, welche der folgenden zwei Gruppen unternimmt Ihrer Meinung nach  
größere Anstrengungen für den Umweltschutz?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Handel und Industrie ..... ☐

Die Bevölkerung ..... ☐

Beide gleichviel ..... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**15b Und welche der folgenden zwei Gruppen unternimmt Ihrer Meinung nach  
größere Anstrengungen für den Umweltschutz?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Der Staat ..... ☐

Handel und Industrie ..... ☐

Beide gleichviel ..... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**15c Und welche der folgenden zwei Gruppen unternimmt Ihrer Meinung nach  
größere Anstrengungen für den Umweltschutz?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!


Die Bevölkerung ..... ☐

Der Staat ..... ☐

Beide gleichviel ..... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**16. Bitte geben Sie zu jeder der folgenden Aussagen an, inwieweit Sie zustimmen oder nicht zustimmen.**

 Bitte machen Sie in jeder Zeile ein Kreuz!

	Stimme stark zu	Stimme eher zu	Weder noch	Stimme eher nicht zu	Stimme überhaupt nicht zu	Kann ich nicht sagen
Es müßte verbindliche internationale Abkommen für den Umweltschutz geben, an die sich Deutschland und andere Länder halten müssen .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Von ärmeren Ländern sollten weniger Anstrengungen für den Umweltschutz erwartet werden als von reicheren Ländern .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Der wirtschaftliche Fortschritt in Deutschland wird sich verlangsamen, wenn wir die Umwelt nicht besser schützen .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**17. Für wie wahrscheinlich halten Sie es, daß in den nächsten fünf Jahren ein Unfall in einem Atomkraftwerk zu langfristigen Umweltschäden in vielen Ländern führen wird?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Sehr wahrscheinlich ..... ☐


Wahrscheinlich ..... ☐

Unwahrscheinlich ..... ☐

Sehr unwahrscheinlich ..... ☐

Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**18. Wieviel Vertrauen haben Sie bei jeder der folgenden Gruppen, daß sie Sie zutreffend über die Ursachen der Umweltverschmutzung informiert?**

 Bitte machen Sie in jeder Zeile ein Kreuz!

	Sehr viel Vertrauen	Ziemlich viel Vertrauen	Etwas Vertrauen	Nicht sehr viel Vertrauen	Kaum Vertrauen	Kann ich nicht sagen
Handel und Industrie .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Umweltgruppen .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ministerien .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Zeitungen .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Funk oder Fernsehen .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Universitäre Forschungszentren .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**19. Wie häufig bemühen Sie sich, Wertstoffe Ihres Abfalls, wie Glas, Metall, Plastik, Papier usw. für die Wiederverwertung (Recycling) zu trennen?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Immer ..... ☐  
Oft..... ☐  
Manchmal ..... ☐  
Nie ..... ☐

Wo ich wohne,  
werden Wertstoffe nicht getrennt entsorgt ..... ☐

**20. Und wie häufig schränken Sie der Umwelt zuliebe das Autofahren ein?**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

Ich habe kein Auto bzw. kann nicht Auto fahren ..... ☐


Ich schränke das Autofahren der Umwelt zuliebe ein:

Immer ..... ☐  
Oft..... ☐  
Manchmal ..... ☐  
Nie ..... ☐

**21. Sind Sie Mitglied einer Gruppe oder Organisation, deren Ziel die Erhaltung oder der Schutz der Umwelt ist?**

Ja ..... ☐  
Nein ..... ☐

**22. Haben Sie in den letzten fünf Jahren . . .**

 Bitte machen Sie in jeder Zeile ein Kreuz!

	Ja	Nein
bei einer Unterschriftensammlung zu einer Umweltfrage unterschrieben? .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
einer Umweltgruppe oder -organisation Geld gespendet? .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
an einer Protestaktion oder an einer Demonstration zu einer Umweltfrage teilgenommen? .....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**23. Ganz allgemein betrachtet, sind Kernkraftwerke Ihrer Meinung nach ...**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

- äußerst gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐
- sehr gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐
- etwas gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐
- kaum gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐
- oder überhaupt nicht gefährlich für die Umwelt? ..... ☐
- Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**24. Bitte geben Sie an, welche der folgenden Aussagen Ihren Glauben an Gott am ehesten zum Ausdruck bringt.**

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

- Ich glaube nicht an Gott ..... ☐
- Ich weiß nicht, ob es einen Gott gibt, und glaube auch nicht, daß es möglich ist, dieses herauszufinden ..... ☐
- Ich glaube nicht an einen leibhaftigen Gott, aber ich glaube, daß es irgendeine höhere geistige Macht gibt ..... ☐
- Manchmal glaube ich an Gott, manchmal nicht ..... ☐
- Obwohl ich Zweifel habe, meine ich, daß ich doch an Gott glaube ..... ☐
- Ich weiß, daß es Gott wirklich gibt und habe daran keinen Zweifel ..... ☐
- Kann ich nicht sagen ..... ☐

**25. Welche der folgenden Kategorien beschreibt am besten, wo Sie wohnen?**

**Wohnen Sie ...**

*Subjective assessment of "urban / rural", background variable*

 Bitte nur **ein** Kästchen ankreuzen!

- in einer Großstadt? ..... ☐
- am Rande oder in den Vororten einer Großstadt? ..... ☐
- in einer Mittel- oder Kleinstadt? ..... ☐
- in einem ländlichen Dorf? ..... ☐
- in einem Einzelgehöft oder alleinstehenden Haus auf dem Land? ..... ☐



**Herzlichen Dank für Ihre Mitarbeit!**

Falls Sie Anmerkungen und Anregungen haben, können Sie diese hier notieren:

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Appendix B****Contact Information for ISSP Member Organisations (2002)**

An updated version of addresses, etc., is always available from the secretariat.

**ISSP MEMBERS AND CONTACTS****Secretariat**

National Opinion Research Center (NORC)  
Tom W. Smith (Secretary General)  
1155 East 60th Street  
Chicago, IL 60637  
U.S.A.

**Archive**

Rolf Uher  
Wolfgang Jagodzinski,  
Zentralarchiv für empirische Sozialforschung  
Universität zu Köln  
Bachemer Str. 40  
50931 Köln  
GERMANY

Jaime Diez-Medrano  
JD Systems  
Pº de la Castellana 173, 5º Izquierda  
28046 Madrid  
SPAIN

**I. Members**

(Where given, the name underlined is that of the principal contact)

<b>Australia</b>	<u>Jonathan Kelley</u> Mariah Evans International Survey Centre Melbourne Institute of Applied and Social Research University of Melbourne AUSTRALIA
<b>Austria</b>	<u>Max Haller</u> Franz Höllinger Markus Hadler Institute of Sociology University of Graz Universitätsstr. 15/G4 A-8010 Graz AUSTRIA
<b>Bangladesh</b>	Q. K. Ahmad/ <u>Nilufar Banu</u> Bangladesh Unnayan Parishad 33, Road 4 Dahanmondi R.A. P.O. Box 5007 (New Market) Dhaka-1205 BANGLADESH
<b>Belgium, See Flanders</b>	
<b>Brazil</b>	<u>Maria Celi Scalon</u> Instituto Universitario de Pesquisas do Rio de Janeiro Rua da Matriz, 82 22260-100 Botafogo Rio de Janeiro BRAZIL
<b>Bulgaria</b>	<u>Lilia Dimova</u> Agency for Social Analyses 1 Macedonia Sq. 1040 Sofia BULGARIA
<b>Canada</b>	<u>Jon Pammett</u> Heather Pyman Carleton University Survey Centre Carleton University 312 St. Patrick's Building Ottawa CANADA K1S 5B6

<b>Chile</b>	<u>Carla Lehmann</u> Centro de Estudios Publicos Monsenor Sótero Sanz 175 Providencia Santiago CHILE
<b>Cyprus</b>	<u>Bambos Papageorgiou</u> Center of Applied Research Cyprus College 6 Diogenes Street Engomi P.O. Box 22006 1516, Nicosia CYPRUS
<b>Czech Republic</b>	<u>Klara Plecita</u> Petr Mateju, Jindrich Krejci Institute of Sociology Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic Jilska 1 110 00 Praha 1 CZECH REPUBLIC
<b>Denmark</b>	<u>Jorgen Goul Andersen</u> Department of Economics, Politics, and Public Administration Aalborg University Fibigerstraede 1 DK-9220 Aalborg DENMARK
<b>Finland</b>	<u>Sami Borg</u> Finnish Social Science Data Archive University of Tampere FIN-33014 FINLAND
<b>Flanders</b>	<u>Jaak Billiet</u> Department Sociologie Katholic Universiteit Leuven E. Van Evenstraat 2B B-3000 Leuven BELGIUM
	Ann Carton Ministerie van se Vlaamse Gemeenschap Administratie Planning en Statistiek Boudenijnlaan 30 B-1000 Brussel BELGIUM

**France**Yannick Lemel

FRANCE-ISSP Association  
(Centre de Recherche en Economie et Statistique)  
Laboratoire de Sociologie Quantitative  
Timbre J350 - Bureau E33 bis  
92240 Malakoff Cedex  
FRANCE

Pierre Brechon, Bruno Cautres  
CIDSP (Centre d'Information des Données Socio-Politiques)  
Institut d'Etudes Politiques de Grenoble  
Domaine Universitaire  
BP 45  
38402 Saint Martin D'Heres Cedex  
FRANCE

L. Chauvel, M. Forsé  
OFCE (Observatoire Français des Conjonctures Economiques)  
69, Quai d'Orsay  
75340 Paris Cedex 07  
FRANCE

A. Degenne  
LASMAS  
(Laboratoire d'Analyse Secondaire et de Méthodes Appliquées  
en Sociologie)  
59-61, rue Pouchet  
75849 Paris Cedex 07  
FRANCE

**Germany**Janet Harkness

Peter Mohler, Evi Scholz, Sabine Klein  
ZUMA  
(Zentrum für Umfragen, Methoden und Analysen)  
PO BOX 12 21 55  
68072 Mannheim  
GERMANY

**Great Britain**

Alison Park  
Roger Jowell  
National Centre for Social Research  
35 Northampton Square  
London, EC1V OAX  
GREAT BRITAIN

**Hungary**

Peter Robert  
TÁRKI RT  
Social Research Center  
Budaorsi ut 45  
H-1112 Budapest  
HUNGARY

**Ireland**

Maire Nic Ghiolla Phadraig  
SSRC  
(Social Science Research Centre)  
National University of Ireland, Dublin  
Dublin 4  
IRELAND

Conor Ward  
SSRC  
(Social Science Research Centre)  
National University of Ireland, Dublin  
Dublin 4  
IRELAND

Andrew Greeley  
NORC  
1155 East 60th Street  
Chicago, IL 60637-2799  
U.S.A.

**Israel**

Noah Lewin-Epstein  
Eppie Yuchtman-Yaar  
Dept. of Sociology and Anthropology  
Tel Aviv University  
PO BOX 39040, Ramat Aviv  
69978 Tel Aviv  
ISRAEL

**Italy**

Luca Diotallevi  
CENSIS  
Piazza di Novella, 2  
00199 Roma  
ITALY

**Japan**

Hiroshi Aramaki  
Noriko Onodera  
NHK, Broadcasting Culture Research Institute  
Public Opinion Research Division  
2-5-1 Atago,  
Minato-ku  
Tokyo 105-6216  
JAPAN

Kazufumi Manabe  
Kwansei Gakuin University  
School of Sociology  
1-1-155 Uegahara  
Nishinomiya  
Hyogo 662-8501  
JAPAN

**Latvia**

Aivars Tabuns  
University of Latvia  
Institute of Philosophy and Sociology  
Akademijas 1  
LV-1003, Riga  
LATVIA

**Mexico**

Federico Curiel Gutierrez  
Centro de Estudios de Opinion  
Francisco Rojas Gonzalez # 155  
Universidad de Guadalajara  
Guadalajara 44600  
MEXICO

**Netherlands**

Jos Becker  
SCP (Sociaal en Cultureel Planbureau)  
Parnassusplein 5 PO Box 16164  
2500 BD Den Haag  
NETHERLANDS

**New Zealand**

Philip Gendall  
Department of Marketing  
Massey University  
Private Bag 11222  
Palmerston North  
NEW ZEALAND

**Norway**

Knut Kalgraff Skjåk  
Bjørn Henrichsen,  
Knud Knudsen  
NSD (Norwegian Social Science Data Services)  
Hans Holmboesgt 22  
5007 Bergen  
NORWAY

**Philippines**

Linda Luz Guerrero  
Mahar Mangahas, Ricardo Abad, Jose Abueva  
Social Weather Stations  
52 Malingap Street  
Sikatuna Village  
Quezon City 1101  
PHILIPPINES

**Poland**

Bogdan Cichomski  
Tantiana Klonowicz  
ISS (Institute for Social Studies)  
University of Warsaw  
Stawki 5/7  
00-183 Warsaw  
POLAND

**Portugal**

Manuel Villaverde Cabral  
Jorge Vala, Alice Ramos, Andre Freire  
Instituto de Ciências Sociais  
University of Lisbon  
Av. Forças Armadas  
Edif. I.S.C.T.E.  
1600 Lisbon  
PORTUGAL

**Russia**

Ludmila Khakhulina  
Tatjana Zaslavskaya  
The Center for Public Opinion and Market Research  
(VCIOM)  
16, Kazakova Str.  
Moscow 103064  
RUSSIA

**Slovakia**

Magdalena Piscova  
Institute of Sociology  
Slovak Academy of Sciences  
Klemensova 19  
81364 Bratislava  
SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Viera Polakova  
Institute for Public Opinion Research  
Statistical Office  
Dubravska Cesta 3  
PO BOX 18  
840 00 Bratislava  
SLOVAK REPUBLIC

**Slovenia**

Niko Toš, Mitja Hafner-Fink, Brina Malnar  
Public Opinion and Mass Communications  
Research Centre  
Faculty for Social Sciences  
University of Ljubljana  
Kardeljeva ploscad 5  
1000 Ljubljana  
SLOVENIA



<b>South Africa</b>	Udesh Pillay Human Science Research Council Private Bag X41 Pretoria 0001
<b>Spain</b>	<u>Juan Diez-Nicolás</u> ASEP (Análisis Sociológicos, Económicos y Políticos) Pº de la Castellana 173, 5º Izquierda 28046 Madrid SPAIN  <u>Natalia Garcia-Pardo</u> CIS (Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas) Montalbán 8 28014 Madrid SPAIN
<b>Sweden</b>	<u>Stefan Svallfors</u> Jonas Edlund Dept. of Sociology University of Umeå 901 87 UMEÅ SWEDEN
<b>Switzerland</b>	<u>Dominique Joye</u> SIDOS (Swiss Information and Data Archive for the Social Sciences) Ruelle Vaucher 13 CH-2000 Neuchatel SWITZERLAND
<b>Taiwan</b>	Ying-Hwa Chang; Yang-Chih Fu; <u>Su-Hao Tu</u> Institute of Sociology & Office of Survey Research Academica Sinica Nankang, Taipei TAIWAN 11529
<b>U.S.A.</b>	<u>Tom W. Smith</u> NORC (National Opinion Research Center) 1155 East 60th Street Chicago, IL 60637 U.S.A.  Peter Marsden Sociology Harvard University Cambridge, MA 02138 U.S.A

**Venezuela**

Roberto Briceno-Leon

LACSO

(Laboratorio de Ciencias Sociales)

Apartado Postal 47.795

Caracas 1041-A

VENEZUELA

Country	Contact	Tel. Code (country)	Tel. Code (city)	Telephone	Telefax	E-Mail
<b>Australia</b>	ISC	+61	6	249 3035		
	M. Evans,			297 2937		MARIAH@INTERNATIONAL-SURVEY.ORG
	*J. Kelley			297 2937		KELLEY@INTERNATIONAL-SURVEY.ORG
<b>Austria</b>	Graz Univ.	+43	316	380 3540		
	*M. Haller			380 3541	380 9515	MAX.HALLER@UNI-GRAZ.AT
	F. Höllinger			380 3543		FRANZ.HOELLINGER@UNI-GRAZ.AT
	M. Hadler			380-3545		MARKUS.HADLER@UNI-GRAZ.AT
<b>Bangladesh</b>	Bangladesh Unnayan Parishad	+880	2	862 8409 863 1566 861 8809	861 7021	BUP@CITECHCO.NET
	Q. K. Ahmad/Nilafar Banu					
<b>Brazil</b>	Instituto Universitario de Pesquisas do Rio de Janeiro	+0055	21	537-8020	286-7146	
	Maria Celi Scalon					CSCALON@IUPERJ.BR
<b>Bulgaria</b>	Agency for Social Analyses (ASA)	+359	2	986-10-72	986-10-72	ASA@MAIL.ORBITELE.BG
	L. Dimova			917 0455		LILIA.DIMOVA@CONSULTANT.BG
<b>Canada</b>	Carleton Univ.	+1	613		520 6690	
	*J. Pammett			520 2600 ext 2793	520 4064	JONPAMMETT@CARLETON.CA
	H. Pyman			520 7420	520-6690	HPYMAN@CCS.CARLETON.CA
<b>Chile</b>	Centro de Estudios Publicos	+56	2	231 5324	233 5253	
	C. Lehmann					CALEHMANN@CEPCHILE.CL

Country	Contact	Tel. Code (country)	Tel. Code (city)	Telephone	Telefax	E-Mail
Cyprus	Center of Applied Research	+357	2	713 175	664 531	
	B. Papageorgiou					PPAPAGEO@CYCOLLEGE.AC.CY
Czech Rep.	Acad. of Sciences	+42	2	22220678		
	*Klara Plecita				22221658	VLACHOVA@SOC.CAS.CZ
Denmark	Dept. of Economics, Politics, and Public Administration	+45		9635 8200	9815 5346	
	Jorgen Goul Andersen Johannes Andersen Mette Tobiasen			9635 8173 9635 8188 9635 8211		GOUL@SOCSCI.AUC.DK JOHANNES@SOCSCI.AUC.DK TOBIASENSOCSCI.AUC.DK
Finland	Finnish Social Science Data Archive	+358	3	215 8519	215 8520	YTSABO@UTA.FI
	Sami Borg					
Flanders	Centrum voor Dataverzameling en Anayse	+16		32 31 57	32 33 65	JAAK.BILLIET@SOC.KULEUVEN.AC.BE ANN.CARTON@AZF.VLAANDEXEN.BE
	Jaak Billiet					
France	*Y. Lemel (CREST)	+33	1	411 75751	411 75755	MASSON@ENSAE.FR
	P. Brechon, B. Cautres (CIDSP)	+33	4	76 82 60 76 76 54 58 18	76 82 60 50	BRECHON@CIDSP.UPMF-GRENOBLE.FR CAUTRES@CIDSP.UPMF-GRENOBLE.FR
	L. Chauvel, M. Forse	+33	1	441 85 441	441 85 454	CHAUVEL@OFCE.SCIENCES-PO.FR FORSE@IRESCO.FR
Germany	ZUMA	+49	621	1246-0	1246-100	
	Evi Scholz			1246-283		SCHOLZ@ZUMA-MANNHEIM.DE
	S. Klein			1246-272		KLEIN@ZUMA-MANNHEIM.DE
	*J. Harkness			1246-284		HARKNESS@ZUMA-MANNHEIM.DE
	P. Mohler			1246-173		MOHLER@ZUMA-MANNHEIM.DE

Country	Contact	Tel. Code (country)	Tel. Code (city)	Telephone	Telefax	E-Mail
Great Britain	NCSR	+44	20	7250 1866	7250 1524	
	R. Jowell *A. Park			7549 9504 7549 9573		R.JOWELL@NATCEN.AC.UK A.PARK@NATCEN.AC.UK
Hungary	TÁRKI					
	T. Kolosi	+36	1	309 7676	309 7666 Fax/Voice	
	*P. Robert	+36	1	309 7676	309 7666	ROBERT@TARKI.HU
Ireland	SSRC	+353	1	716 7001	716 7057	
	C. Ward				706 7001 Fax/Voice	SSRC@UCD.IE CONOR.WARD@UCD.IE
	*Maire N. Phadraig	+353	1	716 8440	716 7057	Maire.NicGhiollaPhadraig@ucd.ie
	A. Greeley	+1	312	753 7867	753 7866	AGREEL@AOL.COM
Israel	Tel Aviv Univ.	+972	3	6409 271		
	*N. Lewin-Epstein				6409 215	NOAH1@POST.TAU.AC.IL
	E. Yuchtman-Yaar			6406 762	6409 215	EPPIE@POST.TAU.AC.IL
Italy	CENSIS	+39	06	860911	86211367	CENSIS@CENSIS.IT
	Luca Diotallevi			860911	86211367	D.MARTINELLI@CENSIS.IT L.DIOTALLEVI@EDUC.UNIROMA3.IT
Japan	NHK	+81	3	5400 6800	3438 4375	
	*N. Onodera			5400 6876	3438 4375	ONODERA@CULTURE.NHK.OR.JP
	K. Manabe		798	53 9060	53 1695	KAZUFUMI.MANABE@NIFTY.NE.JP
	H. Aramaki		3	5400 6874	3438 4375	ARAMAKI.H-EO@NHK.OR.JP

Country	Contact	Tel. Code (country)	Tel. Code (city)	Telephone	Telefax	E-Mail
Latvia	Institute of Philosophy and Sociology, University of Latvia					
	A. Tabuns	+371	7	227 110	210 806	ATABUNS@AC.LZA.LV
	B. Zepa					
Mexico	Centro de Estudios Opinion	+52		3-616- 7015	3616-7015	CEO@CEO.UDG.MX
	Federico Curiel Gutierrez					FCURIEL@CEO.UDG.MX
Netherlands	SCP					
	*J. Becker	+31	70	340 7000	340 7044	J.BECKER@SCP.NL
New Zealand	Massey University					
	P. Gendall	+64	6	350 5582	350 2260	P.GENDALL@MASSEY.AC.NZ
Norway	NSD	+47	55	582 117	589 650	
	B. Henrichsen, K. Knudsen					BJORN.HENRICHSEN@NSD.UIB.NO
	*K. Kalgraff Skjåk			583 246		SKJAK@NSD.UIB.NO
Philippines	Social Weather Stations	+63	2	924 4456	920 2181	SWS885@MOZCOM.COM
	*L. L. Guerrero			924 4465	920 2181	GUERRERO@SWS.ORG.PH
	M. Mangahas			926 4308	920 2181 voice/fax	MANGAHAS@MOZCOM.COM
	R. Abad J. Abueva			426 6001	426 6088	RABAD@PUSIT.ADMU.EDU.PH JVABUEVA@IMPACTNET.COM

Country	Contact	Tel. Code (country)	Tel. Code (city)	Telephone	Telefax	E-Mail
Poland	ISS, Warsaw University					
	*B. Cichomski	+48	22	8315 153	8315 153	CICHOM@ASTERCITY.NET
	Tatiana Klonowicz			8491044		
Portugal	Instituto de Ciencias Sociais	+351	21	799 5000	796 4953	ALICE.RAMOS@ICS.UL.PT
	*M. Villaverde Cabral					MVCABRAL@ICS.UL.PT
	J. Vala					JORGE.VALA@ICS.UL.PT
Russia	VCIOM	+7	095	261 0414	261 8870	DIRECT@WCIOM.RU
	L. Khakhulina			267 0649		LKHAKHUL@WCIOM.RU
Slovakia	Institute of Sociology, Slovak Academy of Sciences/Institute for Public Opinion Research at the Slovak Statistical Office					
	*M. Piscova V. Polakova	+421	2	5296 4355 5937 2676	5296 2315	SUPISCOV@KLEMENS.SAVBA.SK VIERA.POLAKOVA@STATISTICS.SK
Slovenia	Ljubljana Univ.	+386	1	5805105	5805106	
	*N. Toš M. Hafner-Fink B. Malnar			5805105 5805283 5805287		CJMMKSJM@UNI-LJ.SI MITJA.HAFNER@UNI-LJ.SI BRINA.MALNAR@UNI-LJ.SI
Spain	Natalia Garcia-Pardo (CIS)	+34	91	580 7664	580 7619	NGARCIA-PARDO@CIS.ES
	J. Diez-Nicolas (ASEP)			570 5107	579 4073	100613.2712@COMPUSERVE.COM ASEP@SISTELCOM.COM
South Africa	HSRC	+27	12	302-2487		
	Udesh Pillay			3022202	3022525	UPILLAY@HSRC.AC.ZA

Country	Contact	Tel. Code (country)	Tel. Code (city)	Telephone	Telefax	E-Mail
Sweden	Umeå Univ.					
	J. Edlund	+46	90	786 7822	786 6694	JONAS.EDLUND@SOC.UMU.SE
	*S. Svallfors	+46	90	786 5560	786 6694	STEFAN.SVALLFORS@SOC.UMU.SE
Switzerland	Swiss Information and Data Archive for the Social Sciences (SIDOS)	+41	32	721 20 02	721 20 74	DOMINIQUE.JOYE@SIDOS.UNINE.CH
	Dominique Joye					
Taiwan	Ying-Hwa Chang	+886	2	26523402	26536488	ETHWA@SINICA.EDU.TW
	*Yang-Chih Fu			26523359	26510415	FUYC@SINICA.EDU.TW
	Su-Hao Tu	+886	2	27881740 ext. 515	27881740	SUHAO@GATE.SINICA.EDU.TW
USA	NORC	+1	773	256 6000		
	*T. W. Smith			256 6288	753 7886	SMITHT@NORCMAIL.UCHICAGO.EDU
	Peter Marsden	+1	617	495 3823	496 5794	PVM@WJH.HARVARD.EDU
Venezuela	Laboratorio de Ciencias Sociales (LACSO)	+58	212	6931765 6619752	6931765	LACSO@REACCIUN.VE
	Roberto Briceno-Leon		414	328 9087	7534911	RBRICENO@REACCIUN.VE



Country	Contact	Tel. Code (country)	Tel. Code (city)	Telephone	Telefax	E-Mail
Secretariat, Chicago	NORC					
	Tom Smith (Secretary General)	+1	773	256 6288	753 7886	SMITHT@NORCMail.UCHICAGO.EDU
Zentralarchiv, Cologne		+49	221	47694-0	47694-44	
	W. Jagodzinski			470-4508	470 5169	JAGODZINSKI@WISO.UNI-KOELN.DE
	*R. Uher			47694-25	476 9444	UHER@ZA.UNI-KOELN.DE
Archive/JDS	Jaime Diez-Medrano	+34	91	572 1120	579 4073	JIM@SISTELCOM.COM